IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILAPUR

(S) **WRIT PETITION NO. 3446 of 2006** SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER:

B.V.BAJPAI S/O Late L.N.BAJPAI, aged about 58 years, Presently posted as Range- Officer Darbha, Bastar District Jagdalpur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3512/06 Presented by Sh. Dilip Dubey Dated 11/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH Through Secretary Forest Department D.K.S.Bhawan Mantralaya Raipur (C.G.)

2. SHRI J.N. SINGH Range Officer Durg Kondal Forest Division East Bhanupratappur District Kanker (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY A.G. BILASPUR 11/7/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS / CERTIORARI ETC OR DIRECTION(S) / ORDER(S) IN THE LIKE NATURE ETC.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.3444/06 **सन् 200**

58

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	13.7.2006 Heard Dr.N.K. Shukla, Sr. Advocate with Shri M.K. Yadav, Adv. for the petitioner. Shri A.S. Kachhawaha, Govt. Adv. for the State. By the instant petition the petitioner has challenged the transfer order dated 24.6.2006 (Annexure P-1) whereby the petitioner, who is presently posted as Range Officer, Darbha, Bastar, has been transferred to Game Range Bheramgarh, Indrawati Tiger Reserve, Beejapur. The grounds on which this transfer order is challenged are that the petitioner has already attained the age of 58 years and about 2 years have left in attaining the age of superannuation, the petitioner is the patient of hyper tension & unstable angina and he is taking treatment at Bhilai and if he is allowed to transfer to Beejapur that will be at a distance of 500 km from Bhilai, as such the transfer is punitive to the petitioner. Therefore, learned Sr. Advocate for the petitioner submitted that in the circumstances, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances and in turn respondent No.1 be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner, to which learned Government Advocate for the State has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances within ten days from today to respondent No.1 and in turn respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with the law and pass appropriate orders within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2639 /06 & I.A.No.6742/06 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo**	